ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION (1 **COMMISSIONERS** KRISTIN K. MAYES 2010 JAN 25 P 2: 37 **GARY PIERCE** 3 PAUL NEWMAN 4 SANDRA D. KENNEDY **BOB STUMP** 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. E-01575A-08-0328 7 SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A HEARING TO STAFF'S NOTICE OF ERRATA DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS RE: STAFF'S REQUEST FOR PROPERTY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, MODIFICATION OF THE TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATES 10 DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS. 11 The Utilities Division of Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") hereby files this Notice 12 of Errata to correct a typographical error contained in Staff's Request for Modification of the 13 Procedural Schedule ("Request"), filed on January 25, 2010. The word "five" on line 20 should be 14 changed to the word "three." A corrected version of the Request is attached hereto as Exhibit A. 15 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of January, 2010. 16 17 18 Wesley C. Van Cleve, Attorney Kevin O. Tørrey, Attorney 19 Legal Division 20 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 21 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-3402 22 23 Original and thirteen (13) copies 24 of the foregoing were filed this 25th day of January, 2010 with:

25 Docket Control

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JAN 2 5 2010

DOCKETED BY

28

1	Copies of the foregoing mailed this 25 th day of January, 2010 to:
2	Bradley S. Carroll
3	Jeffrey W. Crocket SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.
4	One Arizona Center
5	400 East Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
6	Susan Scott P.O. Box 178
7	Sonoita, Arizona 85637
8	James F. Rowley, III HC 1 – Box 259
9	Elgin, Arizona 85611-9712
10	
11	Roseann Osorio
12 ²	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS 2 KRISTIN K. MAYES

GARY PIERCE

PAUL NEWMAN

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

BOB STUMP

6

1

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES. TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS.

DOCKET NO. E-01575A-08-0328

STAFF'S REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION OF THE PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

The Utilities Division of Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") hereby requests modification to the procedural schedule in this case. Pursuant to the December 15, 2009 procedural order issued by the Administrative Law Judge, the parties are currently required to file simultaneous written testimony as follows:

Direct Rehearing

March 12, 2010

Responsive Rehearing

April 16, 2010

Reply Rehearing

May 7, 2010

The rehearing of this matter is currently set for May 18, 2010. It is important to remember that although the Company filed an application for rehearing and reconsideration that addressed three issues, the Commission nonetheless granted rehearing of the entire matter. Staff understands that the Commission's ruling, to grant a rehearing of the entire matter, presents a unique circumstance where a party can raise any issue related to the initial application, and that the scope of the proceeding will be determined by the issues raised by the rehearing testimony. In addition, the Commissioners can file letters in the docket requesting that the parties address particular topics in their testimony.

Staff believes that since rehearing is a result of the Company's application that the Company should be required to file its Direct Rehearing Testimony first. Staff/Intervenors would then file Direct Rehearing Testimony that addresses the issues addressed by the Company and any other issues

1	related to the initial application that Staff/Intervenors would like to address as part of the rehearing.	
2	These initial rounds of testimony would determine the scope of the proceeding. Staff believes that	
3	staggering the testimony in this fashion will promote efficiency in developing the scope of this	
4	proceeding, as well as the scope of discovery.	
5	Staff proposes the following modification to the December 15, 2009 procedural order:	
6	Company Direct March 12, 2010	
7	Staff/Intervenor Direct March 26, 2010	
8	Company Responsive April 16, 2010	
9	Staff/Intervenor Responsive April 26, 2010	
10	Company Reply May 7, 2010	
11	This modification to the procedural schedule will not delay the hearing currently set for May	
12	18, 2010.	
13	Wherefore Staff respectfully requests this modification to the procedural, with all other	
14	procedural order dates to remain the same.	
15	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of January, 2010.	
16		
17		
18	Wesley C. Van Cleve, Attorney Kevin O. Torrey, Attorney	
19	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission	
20	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
21	(602) 542-3402	
22		
23	Original and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing were filed this	
24	25 th day of January, 2010 with:	
25	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission	
26	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	

1	Copies of the foregoing mailed this 25 th day of <u>January</u> , 2010 to:
2	Bradley S. Carroll
3	Jeffrey W. Crocket SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.
4	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
6	Susan Scott P.O. Box 178
7	Sonoita, Arizona 85637
8	James F. Rowley, III HC 1 – Box 259
9	Elgin, Arizona 85611-9712
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	